

January 9, 2006

The subject RFP is hereby amended as follows.

A. The following RFP Schedule of Events updates or confirms scheduled RFP dates. Note that dates marked "To Be Determined" ("TBD") are dependent upon considerations described in Amendment # 6, Informational Notice 1, below.

	EVENT	TIME	DATE	UPDATED/ CONFIRMED
1.	State Issues RFP		October 21, 2005	CONFIRMED
2.	Disability Accommodation Request Deadline		October 28, 2005	CONFIRMED
3.	Pre-proposal Conference	10:00 a.m.	November 1, 2005	CONFIRMED
4.	Notice of Intent to Propose Deadline		November 7, 2005	CONFIRMED
5.	Written Comments Deadline		November 14, 2005	CONFIRMED
6.	State Responds to Written Comments		December 13, 2005	CONFIRMED
7.	Follow-Up Written Comments Deadline		December 22, 2005	CONFIRMED
8.	State Responds to Follow-Up Written Comments		TBD	UPDATED
9.	Proposal Deadline	2:00 p.m.	TBD	UPDATED
10.	State Completes Technical Proposal Evaluations		TBD	UPDATED
11.	Software Demonstrations		TBD	UPDATED
12.	State Opens Cost Proposals and Calculates Scores	3:00 p.m.	TBD	UPDATED
13.	State Issues Evaluation Notice <u>and</u> Opens RFP Files for Public Inspection	9:00 a.m.	TBD	UPDATED
14.	Contract Signing		TBD	UPDATED
15.	Contract Signature Deadline		TBD	UPDATED
16.	Contract Start Date		TBD	UPDATED

Informational Notice 1: The State is considering the inclusion of a Best and Final Offer (BAFO) process in the ERP procurement. The State's responses to the Follow-Up Written Comments and an updated RFP Schedule of Events will be released after the decision regarding a BAFO process is made and the appropriate approach is

documented. The State expects to publish an amendment to the RFP before the end of January. We appreciate your understanding and the recognition of the complexity and importance of this procurement to the State.

Informational Notice 2: The State wishes to alert vendors that an inaccurate article appeared in the December 12, 2005 issue of Washington Technology. The article entitled "Minding the Money" by Ethan Butterfield stated that Accenture is working with the State on our statewide ERP project and references helping the State benchmark the administrative functions. Both of these points are inaccurate as the ERP procurement is in process and an ERP vendor has not been selected. The State recently began a benchmarking project with The Hackett Group, but Accenture is not involved in this effort. Washington Technology has been asked to correct its mistake and has agreed to do so in the January 20th issue. We regret any confusion this inaccurate article may have caused.

B. The footer of RFP Amendment # 5, read as follows: "RFP Attachment 6.11: Page [NUMBER]."
This was in error. It is hereby corrected to read: "Page [NUMBER]."